### LRO and the ESMD/SMD Partnership Lessons Learned

John Keller LRO Project Scientist





- LRO is a highly successful mission of both exploration and science
- ESMD (Exploration Systems Mission Directorate, now part of HEOMD) and SMD cooperated closely for the mission's success
  - Joint selection of the measurement teams through a joint A.O.
  - SMD added participating scientist
  - LEAG established for community participation, modeled after MEPAG
- LRO Mission benefited from the strong leadership of Mike Wargo, the ESMD Chief Lunar Scientist
  - Advocate in ESMD for science and exploration as co-enablers
  - Ambassador to lunar science and exploration communities.
- Buy-in at the AA and Division Levels was critical.



## **LRO Mission Objectives**







<u>Locate Potential Resources</u> Hydrogen/water at the lunar poles Continuous solar energy Mineralogy Safe Landing Sites High resolution imagery Global geodetic grid Topography Rock abundances Space Environment Energetic particles Neutrons

LRO was initiated as a high-priority Discovery-class mission to enable astronaut return to the Moon

Slide - 3



# **HISTORY I**



- Jan. 2004: President's "Visions for Space Exploration" Speech (mentions "LRO" as 1<sup>st</sup> step)
- Late Jan. 2004: ESMD and SMD agree to charter an ORDT to define LRO (D. Cooke was key official at ESMD with C. Scolese, SMD)
- March 2004: ORDT face-to-face with consensus on priorities for LRO measurements
  - Specified 8 critical measurement sets for LRO to achieve (if possible)
- June 2004: AO release for LRO payload (jointly by SMD and ESMD)
- Dec. 2004: Selection of 6 primary measurement investigations by AA's of ESMD and SMD for LRO mission [LOLA, LROC, LEND, DLRE, LAMP, CRaTER]
- April 2005: Senior NASA HQ agreement to fly MiniRF Tech Demo (SOMD) on LRO to support national goals



## **LRO: The Exploration Mission**



Goals: Locate resources Identify safe landing sites Measure the space environment Demonstrate new technology

#### Seven instrument payload

Cosmic Ray Telescope for the Effects of Radiation (CRaTER) Lunar Orbiter Laser Altimeter (LOLA) LRO Camera (LROC) Lyman-alpha Mapping Project (LAMP) Diviner Lunar Radiometer Experiment (DLRE) Lunar Exploration Neutron Detector (LEND) Miniature Radio Frequency Technology Demonstration (Mini-RF)

### **LRO returns**

- Global day/night temperature maps (DLRE)
- Global high accuracy geodetic grid (LOLA)
- High resolution black and white imaging (LROC)
- High resolution local topography (LOLA, LROC)
- Global ultraviolet map of the Moon (LAMP)
- Polar observations both in shadowed and illuminated areas (LEND, LROC, LOLA, DLRE, Mini-RF, LAMP)
- Ionizing radiation measurements in the form of energetic charged particles and neutrons (CRaTER, LEND)



LRO Launched June 18, and entered mapping orbit September 15, 2009



### **LRO Instruments and Investigations**







# **HISTORY II**



- The rapid schedule required fast implementation, so GSFC directed to design and build the spacecraft
  - Goddard team led by Craig Tooley had a strong background in rapid development missions.
- May 2005 Fall 2008: Development of LRO spacecraft together with 7 flight instruments
- June 2009: Lauunch of LRO with LCROSS
- Sept. 2010: transition of LRO to SMD for "Science Mission"
  - Extended through 2016 by Senior Review Process





	LRO Key Dates
Mar-04	ORDT convened and recommended to NASA LRO objectives
Dec-04	Instruments selected (competitive AO from ESMD & SMD)
Feb-05	Program management moved from NASA SMD to ESMD
18-Jun-09	Launch
27-Jun-09	Commissioning Orbit (30 x 216 km) established
16-Sep-09	Insert into Mapping Orbit (50 ±15 km)
9-Oct-09	LCROSS Impact
3/15/2010 and every 3 months	First Public Release of LRO Data From Planetary Data System (total at least 545 Tbytes through September 2014)
17-Sep-10	Begin Science Mission
17-Sep-12	Begin First Extended Mission
15-Sep-14	Complete First Extended Science Mission/Start Second ESM
16-Sep-16	Complete Second Extended Science Mission

# **ESMD Mission Measurement Requirements**



- LRO Mission Objectives defined as a list of measurement objectives
  - e.g. "The LRO shall obtain temperature mapping from 40 300K in the Moon's polar regions to better than 500m spatial resolution and 5K precision for a full diurnal cycle." See backup charts for full list.
- LRO instruments had strong planetary science heritage with teams led by planetary scientists
  - Including co-investigators on other SMD missions (MESSENGER, MRO, MGS, etc.)
- Clear requirements for ESMD with resources available for science contributed to LRO's success.
- ESMD mission ended Sep. 2010 LRO transitioned to SMD
  - ESMD AA review to verify exploration mission success
  - SMD Senior Review to verify new Science Mission objectives
  - SMD AA review to validate new Science Mission requirements



ŧ

## LRO has robust and resilient capabilities 🐼



<b>†</b> ,	<u>Objectives</u>	LRO Requirements	Contributing Instruments
1	Find Safe Landing	M30 M40 – Global geodetic grid 10 cm vertical, and at the poles, 50 m horizontal resolution	LOLA, LROC
	• Sites	M80 – Identify surface features & hazards	LROC, LOLA, DLRE
		M50 – Provide lunar temperature map from 40 - 300K, 5 K precision over full diurnal cycle.	DLRE
	Locate Potential Resources	M60 – Image the permanently shadowed regions.	LAMP, LOLA
2		M70 - Identify putative deposits of water-ice	LAMP,LEND, LOLA
		M90 – Characterize the polar region illumination environment	LROC, LOLA, DLRE
		M100 - Characterize lunar mineralogy	LROC, DLRE
		M110 - Hydrogen mapping	LEND
3	Life in the Space	M10 - Characterize the deep space radiation environment at energies in excess of 10 MeV	CRaTER, LEND
	Environment	M20 - measure the deposition of deep space radiation on human equivalent tissue.	CRaTER
4	New Technology	P160 - Technology demo	Mini-RF

## **LRO Exceeded Exploration Requirements**



After launch LRO team worked with the Constellation program to identify 100 sites (50 primary) for focused observations\*

- Sites are value for both science and value for both human and robotic exploration
- Sites covered a broad variety of geology to help enable future landing near any location on the lunar surface

### Approach

10 x 10 – full coverage, photom & geom stereo
20 x 20 – best effort, photom & geom stereo
40 x 40 – best effort mosaics at low and high sun
See backup charts for list of sites

\*Gruener, J. E. And B.K. Joosten, NASA Constellation Program Office Regions of Interest on the Moon: A Representative Basis for Scientific Exploration, Resource Potential, and Mission Operations, Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Science Targeting Meeting, Tempe, 2009.





### LRO Data Supports Future US Mission Planning

**Resource** Prospector – Site Selection

Landing Site analysis looking at near surface temperatures and days of se



LRO data is also being used by Discovery and New Frontiers proposal teams <sup>12</sup>



## LRO Data Supports International Mission Planning



- Data Products uploaded into the Planetary Data System (PDS).
- Participation by LRO Science team (which includes non-U.S. coinvestigators) in landing site selection
- Special Data Products put into the PDS through interagency requests.

Malapert Massif Potential Chandrayaan-2 Landing Site





0°



WAC mosaic and slope map of the floor of Boguslawsky crater, potential Luna Glob landing site - H. Hiesinger, M. Ivanov et al., LPSC 2014.



## **Recent LRO Highlights**



### Space Weather Special Issue Results from CRaTER



New Evidence For Young Lunar Volcanism – Nature Geoscience





## LRO "proves" cross-directorate partnerships can work



- LRO continues to make valuable science measurements of the Moon
  - Successful transition to SMD followed by two highly rated Senior Reviews
- LRO has added over 525 TB of data and data products to the Planetary Data System
  - Used for both mission planning and the scientific community
- Has developed maps of the Moon that will be used by generations of Explorers to come.
- LRO continues to rewrite the textbooks on the Moon's geologic history and by extension the history of the solar system



## **LESSONS LEARNED**



- The LRO success shows that partnership between SMD and HEOMD can work extremely well
- Need buy-in at the AA and Division level
  - Willingness on both sides to contribute expertise and resources
- A strong advocate for science in ESMD was essential
  - Role as ambassador between the scientific and exploration communities was important
  - Chief scientist role for HEOMD is currently unfilled?
- A counterpart on the SMD side should be identified
  - Open and frequently used lines of communication should be established









### LRO Addresses Priority Scientific Objectives from NRC Decadal Survey



- National Academy of Sciences NRC Decadal Survey (2003) lists priorities for the Moon (all mission classes thru 2013)\*:
  - Lunar Geodetic Topography (crustal structure, thermal evolution)
    - LRO addresses completely (LOLA)
      - LOLA measurements enable inversion of topography to infer local gravity and structure
  - Polar Volatile Inventory (sources and sinks thru time)
    - LRO addresses as best one can from orbit (LRO targets lander to verify)
      - LEND, LROC, LOLA, LAMP, DLRE, MiniRF support volatile inventory goals
  - Local Geologic Studies in 3D to quantify process interplay
    - LRO addresses completely (LOLA, LROC stereo)
      - Meter scale processes can be quantified for first time on global scale (LROC+LOLA)
  - Global Mineralogical and Elemental Mapping at fine scales
    - LRO addresses in part; left to Int'l missions to complete by intention
      - Chandrayaan-1 MMM (SMD experiment) achieving this goal; DLRE, LAMP contribute
  - Targeted Studies to Calibrate the Impact Flux
    - LRO addresses from orbit; follow-up surface missions needed
      - LROC measurements of changes since Apollo provide recent flux data
  - Geophysical Network (similar to ILN concept)
    - LRO supports by siting (i.e., thanks to 3D context from LOLA lidar altimetry)
  - S. Pole Aitken Sample Return
    - LRO supports via its ability to target safe, compelling landing sites
      - LROC can provide data for landing site safety and optimal science context



### NRC Decadal Survey (2003) lists priorities for the Moon



### (all mission classes through 2013)

NRC DS Priority Investigation	NRC approach	LRO Measurements
Geodetic Topography (crustal evolution)	Altimetry from orbit (with precision orbits)	Global geodetric topography at ~300 m scales (< 1 m rms)
Local Geologic Studies In 3D (geol. Evolution)	Imaging, topography (at m scales)	Sub-meter scale imaging with derived local topography
Polar Volatile Inventory	Spectroscopy and mapping from orbit	Neutron and IR spectroscopy in 3D context + UV (frosts)
Geophysical Network ( <i>interior evolution</i> )	In situ landed stations with seismometers	Crustal structure to optimize siting and landing safety
Global Mineralogical Mapping ( <i>crustal evolution</i> )	Orbital hyperspectral mapping	300 m scale multispectral and 20 km scale H mapping
Targeted Studies to Calibrate Impact Flux (chronology)Image: Calibrate Impact Flux Calibrate Impact Flux 	Imaging and in situ geochronology	New sub-meter imaging of Apollo panoramic data for impact flux measurements



### **ESMD** Mission Measurement Requirements



RLEP-LRO-M10	The LRO shall characterize the deep space radiation environment at energies in excess of 10 MeV in lunar orbit, including neutron albedo.
RLEP-LRO-M20	The LRO shall measure the deposition of deep space radiation on human equivalent tissue while in the lunar orbit environment.
RLEP-LRO-M30	The LRO shall measure lunar terrain altitude to a resolution of 10cm and an accuracy of 1m for an average grid density of approximately 0.001 degrees latitude by 0.04 degrees longitude.
RLEP-LRO-M40	The LRO shall determine the horizontal position of altitude measurements to an accuracy of 100m.
RLEP-LRO-M50	The LRO shall obtain temperature mapping from 40 - 300K in the Moon's polar regions to better than 500m spatial resolution and 5K precision for a full diurnal cycle.
RLEP-LRO-M60	The LRO shall obtain landform-scale imaging of lunar surfaces in permanently shadowed regions at better than 100m spatial resolution.
RLEP-LRO-M70	The LRO shall identify putative deposits of water-ice in the Moon's polar cold traps at a spatial resolution of better than 500m on the surface and 10km subsurface (up to 2m deep).
RLEP-LRO-M80	The LRO shall assess meter-scale features of the lunar surface to enable safety analysis for potential lunar landing sites over targeted areas of 100km <sup>2</sup> .
RLEP-LRO-M90	The LRO shall characterize the Moon's polar region illumination environment to a 100m spatial resolution and 5 Earth hour average temporal resolution.
RLEP-LRO-M100	The LRO shall characterize lunar mineralogy by mapping the thermal properties of regolith and characterizing UV, visible, and infrared spectral differences and variations at km scales globally.
RLEP-LRO-M110	The LRO shall perform hydrogen mapping of the Moon's surface with a sensitivity of 100 ppm or better, a SNR of 3, and 10 km resolution in the polar regions.



**Constellation Sites, Northern** 



#### Near Side, Northern Hemisphere

Site	Latitude	Longitude
North Pole	89.60	76.19
Peary Crater	89.00	76.00
Anaxagoras Crater	73.48	-9.30
Mare Frigoris	59.80	26.10
Humboldtianum	54.54	77.14
Plato Ejecta	53.37	-5.21
Gruithuisen Domes	36.03	-40.14
Lichtenberg Crater	31.65	-67.23
Aristarchus 2	27.70	-52.40
Rimae Prinz	27.41	-41.72
Apollo 15	26.08	3.66
Aristarchus 1	24.56	-48.95
Sulpicius Gallus	19.87	10.37
Ina (D-caldera)	18.65	5.29
Marius Hills	13.58	-55.80
Rima Bode	12.90	-3.80
Mare Crisium	10.68	58.84
Copernicus Crater	9.85	-20.01
Reiner Gamma	7.53	-58.56
Hortensius Domes	7.48	-27.67
Mare Tranquillitatis	6.93	22.06
Murchison Crater	4.74	-0.42

#### Far Side, Northern Hemisphere

Site	Latitude	Longitude
Compton/Belkovich		
Th Anomaly	61.11	99.45
Mare Moscoviense	26.19	150.47
Dante Crater	26.14	177.70
King Crater	6.39	119.91
Mare Smythii	2.15	85.33
Hertzsprung	0.09	-125.56



## **Constellation Sites, Southern**



#### Near Side, Southern Hemisphere

Site	Latitude	Longitude
Flamsteed Crater	-2.45	-43.22
Riccioli Crater	-3.04	-74.28
Apollo 16	-9.00	16.47
Alphonsus Crater	-12.56	-2.16
Montes Pyrenaeus	-15.91	40.81
Orientale 2	-18.04	-87.91
Balmer Basin	-18.69	69.82
Bullialdus Crater	-20.70	-22.50
Tycho Crater	-42.99	-11.20
Malapert Massif	-85.99	-2.93

#### Far Side, Southern Hemisphere

Site	Latitude	Longitude
Dewar (Stratton)	-2.08	166.88
South Pole-Aitken Rim	-5.00	-170.00
Aitken Crater	-16.76	173.48
Tsiolkovsky Crater	-19.35	128.51
Orientale 1	-26.20	-95.38
Van De Graaff Crater	-26.92	172.08
Mare Ingenii	-35.48	164.42
Apollo Basin	-37.05	-153.72
Mendel-Rydberg		
Cryptomare	-51.14	-93.07
SPA Basin Interior	-60.00	-159.94
Schrödinger	-75.40	138.77
South Pole	-89.30	-130.00



# **Cx** Target Locations





**Non-Polar Sites** 



### **Constellation Sites**





Near Side and North Polar

Far Side and South Polar