## Surface Topography and Vegetation (STV) Incubation Study

## Fall 2020 AGU Town Hall Andrea Donnellan, STV Study Lead NASA, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology Dave Harding, STV Technology Lead NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Bob Bauer and Ben Phillips NASA Headquarters

© 2020. All rights reserved.

# 2020 AGU STV Town Hall Agenda (PST)

- 7:00 Welcome
- 7:05 NASA HQ Perspective
- 7:15 Science and Applications
- 7:30 Technologies
- 7:45 Discussion
- 8:00 Adjourn

Bob Bauer, Ben Phillips Andrea Donnellan David Harding Team and audience

Q&A Link: <u>https://arc.cnf.io/sessions/qkrg/#!/dashboard</u> STV Webpage: <u>https://science.nasa.gov/earth-science/decadal-stv/</u>

# Ground Rules

Only material suitable for full and open distribution shall be submitted

- Submittals shall be considered approved by the providing organization to be suitable for full and open distribution
- No proprietary, export controlled, classified, or sensitive material should be provided
- Q&A: <u>https://arc.cnf.io/sessions/qkrg/#!/dashboard</u>

# Decadal Survey Incubation (DSI) Overview

Ben Phillips, Bob Bauer, NASA Headquarters



STV 2020 AGU Town Hall

## **Earth Science Technology Program Elements**

ESTO manages, on average, 120 active technology development projects. Over 830 projects have completed since 1998.

## Advanced Technology **Initiatives Program (ATIP)**

Advanced Component Technologies (ACT) Critical components and subsystems for advanced instruments and observing systems

Selections pending for ACT-20. Solicitations planned in FY22 and FY24 Average award: \$1.2M (2-3 years) Average selection rate: 16.4%

#### **In-Space Validation** of Earth Science **Technologies (InVEST)**

On-orbit technology validation and risk reduction for small instruments and instrument systems.

Four projects selected in FY18. InVEST-20 release is imminent. Solicitations planned in FY24 and FY27 Average award: \$3-5M (3 years) Average selection rate: 18.3%

## Instrument Incubator **Program (IIP)**

Earth remote sensing instrument development from concept through breadboard and demonstration

19 projects awarded in Oct 2019 Solicitations planned in FY21 and FY23 Average award: \$4.5M (3 years) Average selection rate: 23.2%

## **Advanced Information Systems** Technology (AIST)

**Decadal Survey** Incubation (DSI)

Innovative on-orbit and ground capabilities for communication, processing, and management of remotely sensed data and the efficient generation of data products

22 projects awarded in Sept 2019 Solicitations planned in FY21 and **FY23** Average award: \$1.2M (2 years) Average selection rate: 19.6%



2 Study teams awarded in FY20 Solicitation planned in FY21









## **Decadal Survey Incubation Program**

- A new program element in the 2018 Decadal Survey, focused on investment for priority observation capabilities needing advancement prior to cost-effective implementation
- Two elements: Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL), and Surface Topography and Vegetation (STV)
- Supports maturation of mission, instrument, technology, and/or measurement concepts to address specific high priority science (for the following decade)
- Managed by ESTO and run as a partnership with R&A
- Anticipate a mix of activities:
  - Technology development activities
  - Modeling/system design and analysis activities
  - Small scale pilot demonstrations
  - Typically 1- to 3-year activities

2/3/**20**2(



SCIENCES · ENGINEERING · MEDICINE



# **Decadal Survey Incubation Overview/Plans**

- A new program element in the 2017 Decadal Survey, focused on investments for priority observation capabilities needing advancement prior to cost-effective implementation
- Two elements: Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL), and Surface Topography and Vegetation (STV)
- Supports maturation of mission, instrument, technology, and/or measurement concepts to address specific high priority science (for 2027-2037 decade)
- Assigned to ESTO to manage, however, is run as a partnership between ESTO and R&A

<ul> <li>Funding profile (\$M):</li> </ul>	<u>FY20</u>	<u>FY21</u>	<u>FY22</u>	<u>FY23</u>	<u>FY24</u>	<u>FY25</u>
Original FY 22	8.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0
Proposed PPBE22	8.0	3.0	17.0	20.0	15.0	15.0

- FY21 complete Study Teams; continuation of augmented tasks (CS labor); release DSI ROSES-21 solicitation
  - FY22 Begin funding new ROSES awards; some directed work possible
  - ROSES-21 DSI Solicitation (targeting release in late Spring)

/3/2020

- Will use Study Team white papers to inform NASA in writing the call
- Anticipate awards up to ~\$1.5M/y for 3 years (although still TBD att)
- # of awards TBD, as is split between STV and PBL

The cost information contained in this document is of a budgetary and planning nature and is intended for informational purposes only. It does not constitute a commitment on the part of JPL and/or Caltech.



# **ESTO Upcoming Solicitations**



## Incubation Targeted Observables: Decadal Survey

TABLE S.2 Continued

Targeted Observable	Science/Applications Summary	Candidate Measurement Approach	Designated	Explorer	Incubation
Planetary Boundary Layer	Diurnal 3D PBL thermodynamic properties and 2D PBL structure to understand the impact of PBL processes on weather and air quality through high vertical and temporal profiling of PBL temperature, moisture, and heights	Microwave, hyperspectral IR sounder(s) (e.g., in geo or small sat constellation), GPS radio occultation for diurnal PBL temperature and humidity and heights; water vapor profiling DIAL lidar; and lidar* for PBL height			Х
Surface Topography and Vegetation	High-resolution global topography, including bare surface land topography, ice topography, vegetation structure, and shallow water bathymetry	Radar; or lidar*			х

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2018. Thriving on Our Changing Planet: A Decadal Strategy for Earth Observation from Space. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/24938.



## **STV Incubation Trajectory**

 ROSES-2019, A.54 <u>Decadal Survey Incubation Study Teams: Planetary Boundary Layer</u> (PBL) and Surface Topography and Vegetation (STV)

"...to identify methods and activities for improving the understanding of and advancing the maturity of the technologies applicable to these two TOs and their associated science and applications priorities."

- Nov. 2019 Two study teams selected; one for PBL, one for STV
- Dec. 2019 NASA Surface Topography and Vegetation Incubation Community Forum
- Mar. 2020 Study Team work began
- Each team is to produce a white paper for delivery to NASA HQ in early CY21, that will help inform the next ROSES solicitation in FY21 and funding in FY22+
  - Outline potential future methods and activity areas, such as modeling and OSSEs; field campaigns; and a range of potential observing system architectures utilizing emerging sensor and information technologies
  - Other deliverables include a preliminary Science and Applications Traceability Matrix (SATM)
  - Each Study Team "will solicit input from the broader scientific community"

## **STV Incubation Study Objectives**

- Decadal Survey: "A new program element called 'Incubation,' intended to accelerate readiness of high-priority observables not yet feasible for cost-effective flight implementation."
- STV is not a mission or an observing system
- The STV Incubation Study is not a Designated Observables Study
- The STV Incubation Study is focused on:
  - State-of-the-Art Evaluation
  - Identification of Gaps and Investment Needs
  - Preliminary Requirements Refinement





## Decadal Survey Incubation – HQ Points of Contact

Program Manager: Robert Bauer/ESTO, robert.bauer@nasa.gov

Торіс	Program Scientist	Technology Lead
Surface Topography & Vegetation (STV)	Ben Phillips ben.phillips@nasa.gov	Bob Connerton robert.m.connerton@nasa.gov
	Along with:	
	Hank Margolis hank.a.margolis@nasa.gov	
	Thorsten Markus thorsten.markus@nasa.gov	



## Surface Topography and Vegetation (STV) Incubation Study

# Study Overview and Science and Applications Andrea Donnellan, STV Study Lead NASA, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

© 2020. All rights reserved.

# Decadal Survey

- Targeted Observable:
   Surface Topography and Vegetation
- High-resolution global topography, including bare surface land topography, ice topography, vegetation structure, and shallow water bathymetry
- Candidate Measurement Approach: radar or lidar [Stereo Photogrammetry]



The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

CONSENSUS STUDY REPORT

## THRIVING ON OUR CHANGING PLANET

A Decadal Strategy for Earth Observation from Space



https://www.nap.edu/catalog/24938/thriving-on-our-changing-planet-a-decadal-strategy-for-earth

# STV Incubation

- <u>STV incubation</u>: seeks observing system architectures utilizing emerging sensors that will allow for the development of contiguous, high-resolution, bare-surface land topography, ice topography, vegetation structure, and bathymetry data products with global coverage and seasonal interannual repeat cycles.
- <u>Decadal Survey:</u> "topographic mapping from space on a contiguous and high-resolution grid poses a major technological challenge, it is a necessary and logical next step that promises to transform understanding of landscape evolution and the interactions of processes that shape them. ..... Space-based, global coverage remains an important but unrealized goal at present."

# Science Breakouts



#### Solid Earth

- Tectonics/deposition/ erosion/climate coupled processes
- Earthquake, volcano and landslide assessment, response, mitigation and modeling
- Anthropogenic and natural change detection



## Vegetation Structure

- Ecosystem structure and function
- Carbon accounting
- Biomass inventory, dynamics, monitoring
- Biodiversity, habitat structure and response to disturbance
- Forest resources management
- Wildfire, fuel, risk and post-fire recovery



## Cryosphere

- Ice sheet, ice cap and glacier elevation change and sea level impact
- Sea ice thickness and cover change and impact on the ocean/atmosphere system
- Ice flow and dynamics
- Constraints for timeseries modeling

Applications



## Hydrology

- Lake and reservoir heights and shallow bathymetry
- Snow depth and melt impact on water resources
- Stream and river flow
- Flooding and inundation modeling
- Wetland processes and management



## **Coastal Processes**

- Storm surge and tsunami inundation hazards
- Shoreline erosion and sediment transport
- Benthic habitat and marine ecosystems
- Tidal interaction with mangroves and salt marshes
- Shallow water navigation and hazards



# Team Members



Andrea Donnellan



Marco Lavalle Information Systems



Batuhan Osmanoglu



Marc Simard



Dave Harding Radar



Yunling Lou Coastal Processes



Christopher Parrish Lidar

Jason Stoker



Alex Gardner Solid Earth

Paul Lundgren Stereo Photogrammetry



Jon Ranson



**Robert Treuhaft** 

## **Applications**



**Cathleen Jones** 



Scott Luthcke



Sassan Saatchi Vegetation Structure



Konrad Wessel

# STV DSI Schedule

## This Study



## **Next Steps**

- Incubation studies
- Technology maturation
- Inform next decadal survey (~5 years out)
- Leverage existing data, missions, activities

Contact: stv-leads@list.jpl.nasa.gov

# STV Study Update

## **Community Engagement**

Kick-off Plenary, July 9, 2020, 300 attendees July: Science & Application Breakouts, averaging

51 attendees

- Solid Earth, Vegetation, Cryosphere, Hydrology, Bathymetry
- August: Objectives and Product Needs

Questionnaire

- 149 responses
- September: Technology Breakouts, averaging 49 attendees
  - Lidar, Radar, Stereo Photogrammetry, Information Systems
- September: Current and Emerging Technology

**Quad Charts** 

- 60 responses

## White Paper Schedule

October 29: Draft delivered to HASA HQ Late November: Revision incorporating HQ feedback

December 3: AGU STV Townhall

- Draft summarized
- Release for community comment end of AGU
   Dec Jan: Revision based on comments
   End of February: Delivery of final White Paper

## **Key Preliminary Findings**

Need global baseline mapping and high-resolution for observing change New Observing Strategy architecture best addresses STV needs

Multiple platforms and sensors on orbital and suborbital assets

# White Paper

i	Executive Summary
1	Background
2.	STV Targeted Observables
3	Science and Applications Goals, Objectives, and Product Needs
4	Current and Emerging Sensors, Platforms, and Information Systems
5	Gaps and Gap-filling Activities
6	Key Findings and Preliminary Roadmap
Appendix A	:Preliminary SATM
Appendix B	:Team Member Contributions
Appendix C	:Community Engagement
Appendix D	: Product Needs Questionnaire
Appendix E	:Technology Quad Charts
	Acronyms
	References

# STV Preliminary Coverage Maps



Baseline
Surface Topography
Vegetation Structure
Shallow Water Bathymetry
Snow Depth









## SATM Goals, Objectives and Product Needs

		Science or Ap	oplication		-765			
Goal	Objective	Source	Primary Discipline	Area of Intere	est			
	Spatial Needs							
Co	Coverage (%) Grid or Profile Horizontal Resolution (m)		Point Cloud or Mesh Density (points per sq m)		Vertical R	3D Structure Resolution n)		
	Bathymetry Maximum Depth (m) Geolocation Accuracy (m)		Vertical Accuracy (m)		cy Slope Accuracy (rise over run)			
	Temporal Needs							
Latency Repeat Frequency (days) (days)		Repeat Duration (months)		Rate of Change Accurac (m per year)				
Aspirational	Threshold	Aspirational	Threshold	Aspirational	Threshold	Aspirational	Threshold	

## Needs Ranked by Importance

80 0 10 20 30 50 60 70 90 100 40 Number of times selected in questionnaire Spatial coverage Horizontal resolution Repeat frequency Vertical Accuracy Vertical Resolution Point density Rate of change accuracy Geolocation accuracy Repeat duration Maximum water depth Slope accuracy Latency

# **DRAFT** Preliminary Measurement Needs Summary

Parameter			Aspirational			Threshold		
		Median (rounded)	Most Stringent Need	Most Stringent Need Discipline	Median (rounded)	Most Stringent Need	Most Stringent Need Discipline	
Coverage Area of Interest	%	85	99	Cryosphere	60	70	Cryosphere	
Latency	Days	10	1	Hydrology	40	5	Solid Earth	
Duration	Years	9	14	Cryosphere	3	8	Cryosphere	
Repeat Frequency	Months	0.5	0.03	Solid Earth	3	0.2	Solid Earth	
Horizontal Resolution	m	5	1	Solid Earth	25	2	Solid Earth	
Vertical Accuracy	m	0.3	0.2	Solid Earth   Appl.	1.0	0.6	Solid Earth   Appl.	
Vegetation Vertical Resolution	m	1	0.1	Coastal	2	0.2	Coastal	
Bathymetry Max Depth	m	25	100	Solid Earth	10	25	Solid Earth	
Geolocation Accuracy	m	2	0.5	Solid Earth	5	2	Solid Earth	
Rate of Change Accuracy	cm/yr	10	1.0	Solid Earth	25	5.0	Solid Earth	

Under Review/Subject to Change

# Gaps Summary for All Disciplines



## Surface Topography and Vegetation (STV) Incubation Study

# Technologies Dave Harding, STV Technology Lead NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

© 2020. All rights reserved.

# Technology Scope

## Instrumentation

Sensors Sensor-specific processing and analysis methods

## **Information systems**

Hardware or software for assessment or operation of observing systems, sensor webs, or multi-source data fusion and analysis

## Platforms

UAV, aircraft or satellites and systems for on-platform data processing and transmission

## Scale

Regional to global data collection Local data collection for a limited number of sites is out of scope

# Identifying Technology Gaps and Potential Ways to Fill Them

8	Current Technol	ogy Solutions	Identifie	ed Gaps			Potential Activit	ties to Close Gaps		
ALALANTY -	Instrumentation, Information Systems and Platforms	Which requirements are currently met?	Scientific Knowledge	Technology Capabilities	Simulations	Experiments	Existing Data Analysis	Instrumentation Development	Information Systems Development	Platform Development

## **Technology breakout sessions:**

Lidar, September 8, 2020

Stereo Photogrammetry, September 9, 2020

Radar, September 10, 2020

Information Systems, September 15, 2020

Solicited quad charts on current and emerging technologies NASA ESTO 2021 solicitation will request proposals for filling gaps

## Example Current Technology (TRL 7 - 9, operational)

## NASA Airborne Topographic Mapper (ATM)

Dr Michael Studinger NASA GSFC Code 615 (michael.studinger@nasa.gov)

#### Summary

- The Airborne Topographic Mapper (ATM) is an airborne scanning lidar mapping sensor that measures geodetic-quality topography of features such as: river channel morphology, volcanoes, impact craters, glaciers, and polar land/sea ice.
- Incorporates laser with multi-trigger waveform recording, GNSS, attitude sensor, and aircraft steering subsystems.
- ATM instrument suite includes nadir visible and thermal cameras, and hyperspectral scanner.
- Instrument descriptions and evaluations of measurements published in >500 papers.
- https://atm.wff.nasa.gov/

#### Status

- ATM's Current Technical Readiness Level- TRL 9
- ATM has conducted precise topographic mapping for over 26 years.
- ATM has flown on a wide variety of aircraft (P-3, DC-8, C-130, Twin Otter and Gulfstream V) from NASA, NOAA, NCAR, and commercial suppliers.
- ATM was a principal instrument for NASA's Operation IceBridge (2009-2019) and earlier programs for monitoring polar land and sea ice elevation changes, coastal change mapping, ocean wave studies, and geomorphology research.

Co-Is/Partners: NOAA, USGS, CRREL, NRL



a. ATM mapping concept (left) b. Sea ice lead data example (right) c. Mojave River

morphology



#### **Performance**

- ATM produces HDF5 format georeferenced point elevations with multi-trigger waveform digitization from ~75 cm diameter laser footprint at 10KHz. Elevation accuracy <5 cm. Single pass coverage dependent on platform attitude and speed on the order of 0.5 to 2 elevations/m2 (overlapping passes increase density).
- ATM can provide quick data processing in 1 hour, high-precision processing in 1 month.
- ATM's extremely long GPS baseline techniques and flexible aircraft capabilities provide global mapping coverage with accuracy of <10 cm even at the poles.</li>
  - Citations: https://atm.wff.nasa.gov/publications/

## Example Emerging Technology (TRL 1-6, in development)

## **Distributed Aperture Radar Tomographic Sensors (DARTS)**

PI: Marco Lavalle, JPL/Caltech

#### Objectives

#### Goal:

Mature and demonstrate a set of technologies that, when coupled with recent developments in miniaturized spaceborne radars, will enable formations of satellites to perform global vegetation structure and surface topography measurements via simultaneous SAR tomography technique.

#### Specific objectives:

- Design, build and test a distributed system to synchronize timing, clock, relative position and sensor data for all of the distributed elements.
- 2. Miniaturize the distributed phase-coherent radar system
- Design the instrument architecture and orbital configuration for 3D vegetation structure and surface topography.
- 4. Test SmallSat compatible L-band deployable antenna

#### Website: NASA ESTO IIP-19 funded tasks

#### Approach

- Develop and assess synchronization and relative localization algorithms via field/bench tests
- Generate radar tomograms from synchronized signals acquired by small unmanned aircraft systems (sUASs) for changing geometry/site
- Conduct integrated trade-study analysis with orbital, scene, radar, and platform parameters via simulations informed by synchronization/localization algorithm assessment
- Build and test light-weight, deployable, antenna with mechanical support for Transmit/Receive and Receive-only SmallSats

#### **Co-ls/Partners**

Team is a synergy between the JPL Radar Section (334) and other JPL Sections (e.g., 335) in collaboration with Caltech (Prof. S. Chung).

One or more satellite(s) transmit a radar signal and multiple spacecrafts in close formation receive the scattered echoes, which are coherently processed into *tomograms* (conceptually similar to lidar *waveforms*)



#### **Technical Readiness Level**

- Current Technical Readiness Level = 2/3
- With current funded IIP resources, expected TRL = 5
- TRL in 5 years if resources are available = 7

#### Additional Challenges

- · Conversion of radar tomograms into L3 products
- Further radar miniaturization (e.g. leveraging RF photonics)
- Integrated TomoSAR performances leveraging existing missions (SAR or GNSS)
- Data volume, on-board processing and downlink
- Multi-frequency TomoSAR data collection with airborne SAR

# STV Gaps Framework

**Candidate Architectures Developed and Evaluated** 



# Technology Maturity for STV Observables

		Lidar	Radar	Stereo Photogrammetry	Information Systems			Lidar	Radar	Stereo Photogrammetry	Information Systems							
Surface Topography	Orbital	Wide area coverage; resolution; small footprint; cryosphere surface; sustained repeat frequency	and DTM; High resolution surfaces. Veg DTM/DSM in bare- surfaces may	Useful for bare surfaces. Vegetated surfaces may require fusion with other sensors Landscape analysis		surfaces. Vegetated surfaces may require fusion with other	surfaces. Vegetated surfaces may require fusion with other	surfaces. Vegetated surfaces may require fusion with other	surfaces. Vegetated surfaces may require fusion with other	surfaces. Vegetated surfaces may require fusion with other sensors	surfaces. Vegetated surfaces may require fusion with other sensors Landscape		Shallow Water Bathymetry	Orbital Suborbital	Wide area coverage; penetration depth, as a function of water clarity Penetration depth as a function of	Limited to mapping shallow channel patterns from radar backscatter or coarse, global ocean bathymetry estimates from radar altimetry sea surface topography measurement	Advancing from multiple on-orbit examples Advancing from local to regional use. Need robust refraction	Advance from local studies combining lidar and optical imagery Algorithms for accuracy and
Topography	Suborbital	Mature with narrow coverage and high resolution High altitude,	Mature for local to regional High altitude, long	Wide area coverage; haze poses a problem	Multi-sensor data fusion Onboard processing			water clarity High altitude, long duration platforms	_	correction procedures/ algorithms integrated into photogrammetric software.	error estimation							
		long duration platforms	duration platforms		Smart Tasking		Orbital	Wide area coverage;	Wide area coverage; snow accumulation	Advancing from local area examples	Cloud avoidance							
	Orbital	Wide area coverage; ground detection. Calibration of height and AGB with sampling.	Wide area coverage; Vegetation height/AGB with PolInSAR and TomoSAR. Change detection with repeated phase-height PolInSAR/TomoSAR observations	Vegetation height and outer canopy profile. Internal structure requires fusion	Algorithms for accuracy and error estimation Change detection	Snow Depth	Suborbital	snow identification Repeat frequency High altitude, long duration platforms	Regional coverage; snow accumulation; potential SWE estimation.	Advancing from local area examples	Multi-sensor fusion Point cloud differencing Smart Tasking							
Vegetation Structure	Suborbital	Mature for local to regional High altitude, long duration platforms	Validation of spaceborne performance for structure and structure rate of change	CONUS High resolution vegetation height and outer canopy profile.	Cloud avoidance Multi-sensor fusion Onboard processing Smart Tasking	Mat	ure	Bei	ing Advanced	Challe	Tasking							

# **Example Gaps and Activities**

Lidar gap description Limited number of profiles or narrow	Potential gap filling activities     Instrument investments to develop and     demonstrate improved measurement efficiencies		
(C, RF)	<ul> <li>for methods, components, subsystems and systems</li> <li>Platform investments to improve available power (e.g. larger battery storage capacity for nighttime-operation, solar array efficiency)</li> <li>Analysis of existing or newly acquired airborne swath mapping data to determine what sampling density and footprint size are required to meet STV requirements, evaluated as a function of land cover</li> </ul>	Stereo photogrammetry gap descriptionPotential gap filling activitiesLarge data volumes for high- resolution swath mapping can exceed the on-board processing, 	ilize rror ISat re and
	<ul> <li>Algorithm and model development using existing or newly acquired concurrent multi-sensor data to develop optimal fusion methods for wide-area height and bathymetry mapping, evaluated as a</li> </ul>	• Consider lidar-comm for downlink.	
		Information Systems Gap description Potential gap filling activities	
	altimetry, stereo and spectroscopic sensors	<ul> <li>Insufficient capabilities for multi-sensor data fusion methods and algorithms, accounting for differences in</li> <li>Combined in situ, airborne and satellite data collection campaigns, designed for height mapping purposes, to account multi-sensor data sets for analysis and algorithm and mode</li> </ul>	o acquire
Radar Gap description	Potential gap filling activities	- measurement physics (e.g. radar vs. lidar) development.	
	Limited number of profiles or narrow swath causing insufficient coverage (C, RF)	<ul> <li>Limited number of profiles or narrow swath causing insufficient coverage (C, RF)</li> <li>Instrument investments to develop and demonstrate improved measurement efficiencies for methods, components, subsystems and systems</li> <li>Platform investments to improve available power (e.g. larger battery storage capacity for nighttime-operation, solar array efficiency)</li> <li>Analysis of existing or newly acquired airborne swath mapping data to determine what sampling density and footprint size are required to meet STV requirements, evaluated as a function of land cover and topographic relief</li> <li>Algorithm and model development using existing or newly acquired concurrent multi-sensor data to develop optimal fusion methods for wide-area height and bathymetry mapping, evaluated as a function of scaled spatial and vertical resolutions and assessing combinations of lidar, InSAR, radar altimetry, stereo and spectroscopic sensors</li> </ul>	Limited number of profiles or narrow swath causing insufficient coverage (C, RF)       • Instrument investments to develop and demonstrate improved measurement efficiencies for methods, components, subsystems and systems       • Instrument investments to improve available power (e.g. larger battery storage capacity for nightime-operation, solar array efficiency)       • Analysis of existing or newly acquired airborne swath mapping data to determine what sampling density and footprint size are required to meet STV requirements, evaluated as a function of land cover and topographic relief       • Algorithm and model development using existing or newly acquired courternt multi-sensor data to develop optimal fusion methods for wide-area height and bathymetry mapping, evaluated as a function of scaled spatial and vertical resolutions and assessing combinations of lidar, InSAR, radar attimetry, stereo and spectroscopic sensors       • Information Systems Gap description       • Potential gap filling activities         Information Systems Gap description       • Operation of scaled spatial and vertical resolutions and assessing combinations of lidar, InSAR, radar attimetry, stereo and spectroscopic sensors       • Operatial gap filling activities

Radar Gap description	Potential gap filling activities
Optimal features of the TomoSAR profiles to be used in biophysical descriptor estimation are poorly known. TomoSAR profiles can be thought of as resulting from the function of many baseline amplitudes and phases which best produces the radar power profile. But this function may not be the best one from which to estimate, for example, aboveground	nduct field, lidar and TomoSAR/PolInSAR airborne/UAS periments in single-pass (fixed baseline) and repeat-track

· Multiple forest types, if possible

- imaging geometries (nadir vs. side looking)

- horizontal resolution

- acquisition times (sun angle)

(C, RF, VA, G, H, VS, R)

- vertical resolution

Algorithm and model development using existing or newly

acquired concurrent multi-sensor data to develop optimal

function of scaled spatial and vertical resolutions and

fusion methods for identification of features, evaluated as a

## **STV Roadmap**



Under

Review/Subject to Change

**Uncertainty Quantification** 

Smart Targeting

**Onboard Processing Algorithms** 

**Architecture Trade Studies** 

Change Detection Algorithms

**Data Fusion Methodologies** 

Reduced Size, Weight and Power Sensor Development

In Situ and Airborne Campaigns

**Sensor and Platform Maturation** 

Sensor Web and New Observing Strategies Design Studies

**Observing System Simulation Experiments (OSSEs)** 

Geophysical Process Modeling and Sensitivity Studies

Immediate 1-3 years Near-Term \_ 3-7 years

Long-Term 7-10 years

Maturity

# Key Preliminary Technology Finding

 Architecture of multiple platforms and sensors on orbital and suborbital assets would best address STV needs



## **New Observing Strategies Paradigm**

- Multiple collaborative sensor nodes producing measurements integrated from multiple vantage points and in multiple dimensions (spatial, spectral, temporal, radiometric)
- Provide a dynamic and more complete picture of physical processes or natural phenomena

# Discussion

